

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA
INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION**

AMANDA D. MOSER, individually,
and on behalf of all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

**RECEIVABLES MANAGEMENT
PARTNERS, LLC**,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:20-cv-03304-RLY-MPB

CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN

I. Parties and Representatives

Mohammed O. Badwan
Victor T. Metroff
Sulaiman Law Group, Ltd.
2500 S. Highland Ave.
Suite 200
Lombard, Illinois 60148
Direct Line (630) 575 - 8180
Fax (630) 575 - 8188
Email: mbadwan@sulaimanlaw.com
Email: vmetroff@sulaimanlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Brittney B. Rykovich (#34843-49)
Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani
333 N. Alabama Street, Suite 350
Indianapolis, IN 46204
Phone: (317) 581-8523
Fax: (317) 522-3900
Email: brykovich@grsm.com

Andrew M. Schwartz
Admission pending
Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani
Three Logan Square
1717 Arch Street, Suite 610
Philadelphia, PA 19103
☎ (215) 717-4023
📠 (215) 693-6650
✉ Amschwartz@grsm.com

Attorneys for Defendant Receivables
Management Partners, LLC

Counsel shall promptly file a notice with the Clerk if there is any change in this information.

II. Jurisdiction and Statement of Claims

- A. The parties shall state the basis for subject matter jurisdiction. If there is disagreement, each party shall state its position.

Federal question – 28 U.S.C. §1331

- B. Plaintiff contends that Defendant violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”) by placing collection calls to Plaintiff’s cellular phone utilizing a prerecorded message or artificial voice without Plaintiff’s consent. Plaintiff brings individual and class claims against Defendant for violations of the TCPA. Plaintiff further contends that Defendant’s harassing collection calls violated sections 1692c and 1692d of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (“FDCPA”).
- C. Plaintiff asserts a class claim under the TCPA and an individual claim under the FDCPA. Contrary to Plaintiff’s TCPA claims, Defendant placed calls to Plaintiff in connection with her Wake Forest Baptist Hospital debts where Plaintiff provided prior written consent to receive calls from Defendant. Plaintiff does not present a viable TCPA claim. As to the FDCPA, Defendant received a cease request from Plaintiff and ceased calls. The FDCPA claims, like the TCPA claims, lack merit. Defendant has provided proof that Plaintiff’s claims lack merit and has requested dismissal of this matter and reserved its right to seek the recovery of its fees and costs in accordance with Section 1692k(a)(3).

III. Pretrial Pleadings and Disclosures

- A. The parties shall serve their Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 initial disclosures on or before April 26, 2021.
- B. Plaintiff shall file preliminary witness and exhibit lists on or before May 3, 2021.
- C. Defendant(s) shall file preliminary witness and exhibit lists on or before May 10, 2021.
- D. All motions for leave to amend the pleadings and/or to join additional parties shall be filed on or before May 30, 2021.

- E. Plaintiff shall serve Defendant (but not file with the Court) a statement of special damages, if any, and make a settlement proposal, on or before May 30, 2021. Defendant shall serve on the Plaintiff (but not file with the Court) a response thereto within 30 days after receipt of the proposal.
- F. Except where governed by paragraph (G) below, expert witness disclosure deadlines shall conform to the following schedule: Plaintiff(s) shall disclose the name, address, and vita of any expert witness, and shall serve the report required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) on or before December 30, 2021. Defendant shall disclose the name, address, and vita of any expert witness, and shall serve the report required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) on or before January 30, 2022; or if Plaintiff has disclosed no experts, Defendant) shall make its expert disclosure on or before January 30, 2022.
- G. Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph (F), above, if a party intends to use expert testimony in connection with a motion for summary judgment to be filed by that party, such expert disclosures must be served on opposing counsel no later than 90 days prior to the dispositive motion deadline. If such expert disclosures are served the parties shall confer within 7 days to stipulate to a date for responsive disclosures (if any) and completion of expert discovery necessary for efficient resolution of the anticipated motion for summary judgment. The parties shall make good faith efforts to avoid requesting enlargements of the dispositive motions deadline and related briefing deadlines. Any proposed modifications of the CMP deadlines or briefing schedule must be approved by the Court.
- H. Any party who wishes to limit or preclude expert testimony at trial shall file any such objections on or before January 1, 2022. Any party who wishes to preclude expert witness testimony at the summary judgment stage shall file any such objections with their responsive brief within the briefing schedule established by S.D. Ind. L.R. 56-1.
- I. All parties shall file and serve their final witness and exhibit lists on or before March 10, 2022. This list should reflect the specific potential witnesses the party may call at trial. It is not sufficient for a party to simply incorporate by reference “any witness listed in discovery” or such general statements. The list of final witnesses shall include a brief synopsis of the expected testimony.

- J. Any party who believes that bifurcation of discovery and/or trial is appropriate with respect to any issue or claim shall notify the Court as soon as practicable.
- K. Discovery of electronically stored information (“ESI”). If either party is seeking the production of a substantial volume of ESI, then complete the ESI Supplement to the Report of the Parties’ Planning Meeting (also available in MS Word on the court’s website at <http://www.insd.uscourts.gov/case-management-plans>).

If the parties believe that a substantial volume of ESI will not be produced in the case, the parties should include herein a brief description of the information anticipated to be sought in discovery in the case and include (1) the parties’ agreement regarding the format in which ESI will be produced (including whether the production will include metadata), (2) a description of any other issues the parties believe may be relevant to discovery in the case, and (3) either the following claw back provision or the language of any alternative provision being proposed:

In the event that a document protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine or other applicable privilege or protection is unintentionally produced by any party to this proceeding, the producing party may request that the document be returned. In the event that such a request is made, all parties to the litigation and their counsel shall promptly return all copies of the document in their possession, custody, or control to the producing party and shall not retain or make any copies of the document or any documents derived from such document. The producing party shall promptly identify the returned document on a privilege log. The unintentional disclosure of a privileged or otherwise protected document shall not constitute a waiver of the privilege or protection with respect to that document or any other documents involving the same or similar subject matter.

IV. Discovery¹ and Dispositive Motions

Due to the time and expense involved in conducting expert witness depositions and other discovery, as well as preparing and resolving dispositive motions, the Court requires counsel to use the CMP as an opportunity to seriously explore whether this case is appropriate for such motions (specifically including motions for summary judgment), whether expert witnesses will be needed, and how long discovery should continue. To this end, counsel must select the track set forth below that they believe best suits this case. If the parties are unable to agree on a track, the parties must: (1) state this fact in the CMP where indicated below; (2) indicate which track each counsel believes is most appropriate; and (3) provide a brief statement supporting the reasons for the track each counsel believes is most appropriate. If the parties are unable to agree on a track, the Court will pick the track it finds most appropriate, based upon the contents of the CMP or, if necessary, after receiving additional input at an initial pretrial conference.

- A. Does any party believe that this case may be appropriate for summary judgment or other dispositive motion? If yes, the party(ies) that expect to file such a motion must provide a brief statement of the factual and/or legal basis for such a motion.

Defendant intends to file a motion for summary judgment because the TCPA does not apply to the conduct of Defendant as a matter of recently settled law (*Duguid v. FaceBook*, US Sup. Ct. Decision), and because, even if the TCPA applied to the conduct of Defendant, Defendant placed calls to Plaintiff with prior express consent. Defendant also intends to file a motion for summary judgment as to the FDCPA claims because its records show that when Plaintiff requested cessation of calls, Defendant ceased calls. There is no violation of the FDCPA.

- B. On or before August 1, 2021 [no later than 7 days after the non-expert discovery deadline], and consistent with the certification provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(b), the party with the burden of

¹ The term “completed,” as used in Section IV.C, means that counsel must serve their discovery requests in sufficient time to receive responses before this deadline. Counsel may not serve discovery requests within the 30-day period before this deadline unless they seek leave of Court to serve a belated request and show good cause for the same. In such event, the proposed belated discovery request shall be filed with the motion, and the opposing party will receive it with service of the motion but need not respond to the same until such time as the Court grants the motion.

proof shall file a statement of the claims or defenses it intends to prove at trial, stating specifically the legal theories upon which the claims or defenses are based.

C. Select the track that best suits this case:

_____ Track 1: No dispositive motions are anticipated. All discovery shall be completed by _____. [Note: Given that no dispositive motions are anticipated, the parties should consider accelerating discovery and other pretrial deadlines to the extent practicable and suggest a substantially earlier trial date (Section VI). The Court encourages a track faster than the standard track in all cases in which dispositive motions are not anticipated].

X Track 2: Dispositive motions are expected and shall be filed by December 30, 2021; non-expert witness discovery and discovery relating to liability issues shall be completed by November 30, 2021; expert witness discovery and discovery relating to damages shall be completed by March 30, 2022. All remaining discovery shall be completed by no later than 16 months from Anchor Date. [Note: The Court expects this will be the typical track when dispositive motions are anticipated.]

_____ Track 3: Dispositive motions shall be filed by [not later than 13 months from the Anchor Date]; non-expert discovery shall be completed by _____; expert witness discovery shall be completed by _____. [Note: The Court provides Track 3 as an open option because it recognizes that there may be unusual cases for which special circumstances necessitate additional flexibility. However, the Court has found that Tracks 1 and 2 are appropriate in the large majority of cases, and therefore the parties must briefly state below the special circumstances justifying a departure from Tracks 1 and 2.]

Absent leave of Court, and for good cause shown, all issues raised on summary judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 must be raised by a party in a single motion.

V. Pre-Trial/Settlement Conferences

At any time, any party may call the Judge's Staff to request a conference, or the Court may *sua sponte* schedule a conference at any time. The presumptive time for a settlement conference is no later than 30 days before the close of non-expert discovery. The parties are encouraged to request an earlier date if they believe the assistance of the Magistrate

Judge would be helpful in achieving settlement. The parties recommend a settlement conference in October 2021.

VI. Trial Date

The parties request a trial date in May 2022. The trial is by jury and is anticipated to take 30 hrs. /4 days. Counsel should indicate here the reasons that a shorter or longer track is appropriate. While all dates herein must be initially scheduled to match the presumptive trial date, if the Court agrees that a different track is appropriate, the case management order approving the CMP plan will indicate the number of months by which all or certain deadlines will be extended to match the track approved by the Court.

VII. Referral to Magistrate Judge

- A. **Case.** At this time, all parties do not consent to refer this matter to the currently assigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 636(c) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73 for all further proceedings including trial.
- B. **Motions.** The parties may also consent to having the assigned Magistrate Judge rule on motions ordinarily handled by the District Judge, such as motions to dismiss, for summary judgment, or for remand. If all parties consent, they should file a joint stipulation to that effect. Partial consents are subject to the approval of the presiding district judge.

VIII. Required Pre-Trial Preparation

- A. **TWO WEEKS BEFORE THE FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE, the parties shall:**
 - 1. File a list of trial witnesses, by name, who are actually expected to be called to testify at trial. This list may not include any witnesses not on a party's final witness list filed pursuant to Section III.I.
 - 2. Number in sequential order all exhibits, including graphs, charts and the like, that will be used during the trial. Provide the Court with a list of these exhibits, including a description of each exhibit and the identifying designation. Make the original exhibits available for inspection by opposing counsel. Stipulations as to the authenticity and admissibility of exhibits are encouraged to the greatest extent possible.

3. Submit all stipulations of facts in writing to the Court. Stipulations are always encouraged so that at trial, counsel can concentrate on relevant contested facts.
4. A party who intends to offer any depositions into evidence during the party's case in chief shall prepare and file with the Court and copy to all opposing parties either:
 - a. brief written summaries of the relevant facts in the depositions that will be offered. (Because such a summary will be used in lieu of the actual deposition testimony to eliminate time reading depositions in a question and answer format, this is strongly encouraged.); or
 - b. if a summary is inappropriate, a document which lists the portions of the deposition(s), including the specific page and line numbers, that will be read, or, in the event of a video-taped deposition, the portions of the deposition that will be played, designated specifically by counter-numbers.
5. Provide all other parties and the Court with any trial briefs and motions in limine, along with all proposed jury instructions, voir dire questions, and areas of inquiry for voir dire (or, if the trial is to the Court, with proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law).
6. Notify the Court and opposing counsel of the anticipated use of any evidence presentation equipment.

B. ONE WEEK BEFORE THE FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE, the parties shall:

1. Notify opposing counsel in writing of any objections to the proposed exhibits. If the parties desire a ruling on the objection prior to trial, a motion should be filed noting the objection and a description and designation of the exhibit, the basis of the objection, and the legal authorities supporting the objection.
2. If a party has an objection to the deposition summary or to a designated portion of a deposition that will be offered at trial, or if a party intends to offer additional portions at trial in response to the opponent's designation, and the parties

desire a ruling on the objection prior to trial, the party shall submit the objections and counter summaries or designations to the Court in writing. Any objections shall be made in the same manner as for proposed exhibits. However, in the case of objections to video-taped depositions, the objections shall be brought to the Court's immediate attention to allow adequate time for editing of the deposition prior to trial.

3. File objections to any motions in limine, proposed instructions, and voir dire questions submitted by the opposing parties.
4. Notify the Court and opposing counsel of requests for separation of witnesses at trial.

Sulaiman Law Group, Ltd.

/s/ Mohammed O. Badwan
Mohammed O. Badwan
Victor T. Metroff
Sulaiman Law Group, Ltd.
2500 S. Highland Ave.
Suite 200
Lombard, Illinois 60148
Direct Line (630) 575 - 8180
Fax (630) 575 - 8188
Email: mbadwan@sulaimanlaw.com
Email: vmetroff@sulaimanlaw.com

Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani

/s/ Brittney B. Rykovich
Brittney B. Rykovich (#34843-49)
333 N. Alabama Street, Suite 350
Indianapolis, IN 46204
Phone: (317) 581-8523
Fax: (317) 522-3900
Email: brykovich@grsm.com

Andrew M. Schwartz
Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani
Three Logan Square
1717 Arch Street, Suite 610
Philadelphia, PA 19103
☎ (215) 717-4023
📠 (215) 693-6650
✉ Amschwartz@grsm.com